



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/796,933	03/10/2004	Jahangir S. Rastegar	10028	3287	
7590 11/05/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Thomas Spinelli		HARTMANN, GARY S			
2 Sipala Court East Northport	NY 11731	11731		PAPER NUMBER	
•			3671		
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		63			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	10/796,933	RASTEGAR ET AL.			
⟨	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INO DATE And	Gary Hartmann	3671			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 September 2004</u>.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-15 and 27-29 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 16-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 24-26 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10 March 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	n)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Objections

Claim 20 is objected to because the recitation of "each of the one or more panels" (line 3) lacks clear antecedent basis since line 2 recites "two or more panels." Appropriate correction is required; however, note that correction of line 3 could result in a duplicate of claim 21.

Claim 23 is objected to because of the following informalities: "providingat" (line 1) should be --providing at--. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sumners (U.S. Patent 2,917,283).

Sumners discloses a method of slowing a vehicle comprising providing a panel (13) and deploying it above a surface (Figure 4, for example).

The entire vehicle is lifted above the surface with the panel (Figure 5, for example).

Claims 16 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nasatka (U.S. Patent 4,893,119).

Application/Control Number: 10/796,933

Art Unit: 3671

Nasatka discloses a method of slowing a vehicle comprising providing a panel (14) and deploying it above a surface (abstract, for example).

The panel is controlled based on vehicle speed detection (column 8, line 13-19).

Two panels (Figure 1, for example) rotate in the same direction.

Claims 16, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thompson (U.S. Patent 5,419,537).

Thompson discloses a method comprising providing a panel (14, 16, 60) and deploying it above a surface (Figure 2, for example). Vehicles would inherently slow to cross this structure, since failure to do so would likely result in damage to the structure and/or the vehicle.

Panels (14, 16) are disposed to rotate in opposite directions.

There is a center panel (60) disposed between panels (14, 16) and capable of being deployed into a position extended from the surface.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 24-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 703-305-4549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9am-6pm.

Art Unit: 3671

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner Art Unit 3671

gh